

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,308	04/01/2004	Thomas Strothmann	12873/04787	7265
24024 75	90 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1400			ARTONI	TAI EK NOMBEK
. CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		2144	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/21/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	10/815,308	STROTHMANN, THOMAS
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	Olga Hernandez	2144
Period fo		uon appears on the cover sheet wi	ar the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, reply received by the Office later than three months after set patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNION OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>8/16/05</u> .	
		☐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		•
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-13,17-22 and 26-29</u> is/a	re rejected.	
=	Claim(s) <u>4-7,14-16 and 23-25</u> is/are obj		
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers	•	
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	·
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	• • •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	•
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		· ·
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	•	received in this National Stage
* (application from the International		an anti-rad
	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
A440-b	A/a)		
Attachmen 1) Notice	et(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	.948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	D/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/815,308

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 10, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avitan (5,487,437) in view of Ando et al (5,388,658).

As per claims 1, 10 and 19, Avitan teaches:

- determining a turning reference and vehicle velocity (figure 1, abstract);
- determining a reference distance from the turning reference (figure 1);
- determining a wheel drive distance from the turning reference for each wheel drive of the multi-wheel drive vehicle (figure 1).

Avitan does not teach determining an independent velocity for each wheel drive based on the vehicle velocity, wheel drive distance, and reference distance, and outputting the independent determined velocity for each wheel drive to each wheel drive. However, Ando teaches determining an independent velocity for each wheel drive based on the vehicle velocity, wheel drive distance, and reference distance, and outputting the independent determined velocity for each wheel drive to each wheel drive (column 2, lines 11-23, column 3, lines 41-55, column 4, lines 9-19, figures 1, 5 and 6). Thus, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2144

one skill in the art to combine Avitan's invention with Ando's independent velocity for each wheel in order to optimize vehicle performance and to prevent the vehicle from exceeding a predetermined lateral acceleration limit.

As per claims 9, 18 and 27, Avitan does not teach the steering angle of at least one wheel drive. However, Ando teaches it in column 3, lines 52-53. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Naito's invention with Ando's independent velocity for each wheel in order to optimize vehicle performance and to prevent the vehicle from exceeding a predetermined lateral acceleration limit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned references in order to enhance the vehicle's control.

Claims 2, 11, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avitan (5,487,437) in view of Ando et al (5,388,658), further in view of Naito (5,168,953).

As per claims 2, 11 and 20, neither Ando nor Avitan teaches to read the position output of a user manipulable control device. However, Naito teaches how to read the position output of a user manipulable control device (column 8, line 20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned references in order to enhance the vehicle's control.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,308 Page 4

Art Unit: 2144

Claims 3, 8, 12, 17, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avitan (5,487,437) in view of Ando et al (5,388,658), further in view of lkeda et al (5,157,611).

As per claims 3, 12 and 22, neither Avitan nor Ando teaches how to read the angular position of a steering servo-mechanism. However, lkeda teaches it in column 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned references in order to enhance the vehicle's control.

As per claims 8, 17 and 26, neither Avitan nor Ando teaches how to determine the steering angle of for at least one wheel drive. However, Ikeda teaches how to determine the steering angle of for at least one wheel drive (columns 5-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned inventions in order to enhance the vehicle's control.

Claims 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avitan (5,487,437) in view of wheelchair's joystick.

As per claims 13 and 21, Avitan does not teach the use of a joystick. However, this feature is used in wheelchairs today and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine both inventions in order to give different options of comfort to the users.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by lkeda et al (5,1157,611).

As per claims 28 and 29, Ikeda discloses how to read an angle value associated to the steering position (column 4, lines 19-20); determine a velocity based on the angle, a vehicle reference point's velocity and location from a predetermined origin, and at least one wheel drive base dimension for at least one wheel drive (column 4, lines 20-45), and output the determined velocity to the at least one wheel drive (column 5, lines 1-15).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7, 14-16, 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is 571-272-7144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30am-7:00pm.

Art Unit: 2144

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 2144